

RECEIVED

JUN 07 2006

ROBERT H. SHERWELL, CLERK
WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA
SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

SHREVEPORT DIVISION

WILLIAM JENKINS

CIVIL ACTION NO. 06-481-P

VERSUS

JUDGE HICKS

CLAIBORNE PARISH DETENTION
CENTER, ET AL.

MAGISTRATE JUDGE HORNSBY

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION¹

In accordance with the standing order of this Court, this matter was referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge for review, report and recommendation.

STATEMENT OF CLAIM

Before the Court is a civil rights complaint filed in forma pauperis by pro se plaintiff William Jenkins ("Plaintiff"), pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This complaint was received and filed in this Court on March 17, 2006. Plaintiff is currently incarcerated at the Forcht Wade Correctional Center. He alleges his civil rights were violated by prison officials while incarcerated at the Claiborne Parish Detention Center. He names the Claiborne Parish Detention Center, Deputy Theater, Stacy Lewis, John Goodwin, Gary Allen, Leroy Holiday and John Banks as defendants.

Plaintiff was ordered on April 21, 2006, to file, within 30 days of the service of the order, a copy of all steps taken in the Administrative Remedy Procedure and

¹This decision is not intended for commercial print or electronic publication.

responses to said ARPs and an amended complaint. To date, Plaintiff has not done so.

Accordingly;

IT IS RECOMMENDED that this complaint be **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**, sua sponte, for failure to prosecute, pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as interpreted by the Court and under the Court's inherent power to control its own docket. See Link v. Wabash Railroad Company, 370 U.S. 626, 82 S.Ct. 1386 (1962); Rogers v. Kroger Company, 669 F.2d 317, 320-321 (5th Cir. 1983).

OBJECTIONS

Under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), parties aggrieved by this recommendation have ten (10) business days from service of this Report and Recommendation to file specific, written objections with the Clerk of Court, unless an extension of time is granted under Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b). A party may respond to another party's objections within ten (10) days after being served with a copy thereof. Counsel are directed to furnish a courtesy copy of any objections or responses to the District Judge at the time of filing.

A party's failure to file written objections to the proposed findings, conclusions and recommendation set forth above, within ten (10) days after being served with a copy shall bar that party, except upon grounds of plain error, from attacking on appeal the proposed factual findings and legal conclusions that were accepted by the district court and that were not objected to by the aforementioned party. See Douglas v. U.S.A.A., 79 F.3d 1415 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc).

THUS DONE AND SIGNED, in chambers, at Shreveport, Louisiana, on this

7th day of June 2006.



MARK L. HORNSBY
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

H:\PRO SE\RULE41\Jenkins.1983.amd.proof.6-06.wpd